



1 July 2025

Omnibus initiative: Sustainability rules are essential for European competitiveness

198 signatories, including 84 investors and financial institutions, 29 companies, 42 service providers, as well as 43 supporting organisations*, are issuing this joint statement to emphasise the importance of preserving the core of the EU sustainable finance framework. Rules on sustainability reporting, transition plans, climate targets and corporate due diligence are a key foundation for achieving the EU's economic and sustainability goals. Improving their implementation is a priority.

By promoting transparency and responsible business conduct, these rules are conducive to competitiveness and growth, as well as long-term value creation and subsequent returns for investors. Companies that implement EU sustainability rules are likely to be more resilient, better prepared for sustainability-related challenges and opportunities, and more capable of communicating these factors to investors and other financial stakeholders.

In the context of the Omnibus I simplification initiative, we call attention to the investors, banks, other financial institutions and companies across our economy that support preserving the core elements of the Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) underpinned by the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS), and of the Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (CSDDD).

CSRD/ESRS and CSDDD are essential for achieving the EU's wider sustainability, growth and competitiveness ambitions. They contribute to reorienting investment towards the technologies and sectors that support the goals of the Clean Industrial Deal. They can also reinforce harmonisation efforts for EU capital markets, as set out in the Savings and Investment Union.

The signatories of this statement consider **that regulatory simplification can be achieved without compromising on the substance of sustainability rules or their significant benefits for businesses across the EU**. This can be achieved via the following recommendations:

- *Simplify the ESRS in a way that maintains the double materiality approach of the CSRD, covering environmental, social and governance topics, and ensuring interoperability with international standards and frameworks (including ISSB, GRI and TNFD).*

- *Include companies with more than 500 employees in the scope of CSRD, in line with the scope of the Non-Financial Reporting Directive (NFRD) which was adopted a decade ago. This will ensure regulatory continuity for companies reporting under NFRD and for companies that have already reported or prepared to report under the CSRD. A phase-in period of 2-4 years could eventually be applied, starting with companies above 1000 employees.*
- *Ensure the value chain cap allows for the constructive exchange of sustainability information between investors and companies.*
- *Safeguard the core elements of the CSDDD and maintain risk-based corporate due diligence, in line with the UN Guiding Principles for Business and Human Rights, and OECD Guidelines.*
- *Maintain a requirement under CSDDD for companies to adopt climate transition plans that include science-based targets with disclosures in line with CSRD. Clarify the requirement to “through best efforts, put into effect” these plans, which should explicitly reference an obligation of means, not an obligation of results.*

For further details, see the **Annex** of this statement (p.10).

To conclude, responsible businesses and investors need a clear and stable policy environment to contribute to the EU's goals for a competitive and sustainable economy. Retaining the core elements of the EU sustainable finance rules, as set out above, is necessary for providing the transparency and certainty needed to achieve growth whilst supporting decarbonisation.

****Disclaimer:** please note that the number of signatories is correct as of Monday 30 June, after an initial twenty-day sign-on period. This joint statement is **still open for signatures**. Interested organisations can sign until Friday 29 August COB using [this form](#).*

This statement is endorsed by:

Coordinating organisations:

Eurosif, the European Sustainable Investment Forum

Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)

Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI)

Corporate Leaders Group Europe (CLG Europe)

Global Reporting Initiative (GRI)

E3G

Investors and other financial institutions:

AkademikerPension

Allianz SE

Alternative Bank Switzerland

Anaxis Asset Management

APICIL Group

Arete Ethik Invest AG

avesco Sustainable Finance AG

Axiom Alternative Investments

Bolena Impact Investments

BRIDGESTONE FONDO DE PENSIONES

Cardano

CCLA Investment Management

Chahine Capital

Church of Sweden

Den Sociale Kapitalfond Management ApS

Domini Impact Investments LLC

Dorval Asset Management

DPAM

East Capital Group

Ecofi Investissements

EMH Partners

Erste Asset Management

Ethias

Ethos Engagement Pool International

Ethos Foundation

Finance in Motion
Findos Investor GmbH
First Sentier Investors
FONDITEL B
FONDO PENSIONE MPS
Funds For Good
Genesta Property Nordic AB
GLS Bank
GM PENSIONES, FONDO DE PENSIONES
Groupe La Française
Gruppo Banca Etica (Banca Popolare Etica, Etica Sgr, Cresud)
Gutmann KAG
hkk Krankenkasse
Impax Asset Management
Inarcassa
Incofin Investment Management NV
Invalida INVL AB
IRCANTEC
ITAS Mutua
Keepers
KLP
La Financière de l'Echiquier
La Financière Responsable
LBP AM
LD Pensions
LocalTapiola Asset Management Ltd
MAIF
Mandarine Gestion
Maryknoll Sisters

Mercy Investment Services, Inc.
Messieurs Hottinguer & Cie Gestion Privée
Miller/Howard Investments, Inc.
Mirova
New Zealand Superannuation Fund
Nordea
Odin Forvaltning AS
Ofi Invest Asset Management
OP Asset Management
P&V Verzekeringen
PenSam
PFC S.p.A Società Benefit
PKA
Plan de Pensiones Asociado UGT
PLAN DE PENSIONES DE EMPLEADOS DE TELEFÓNICA
Plan de Pensiones Empleados Deutsche Bank España (parte social)
RESILIENCE PARTNERS
Ring Capital
Royal London
Soulmates Ventures
Stichting Pensioenfonds Medisch Specialisten
Storebrand Asset Management
Sycomore AM
Tribe Impact Capital LLP
Trill Impact AB
Triodos Bank
Triodos Bank Germany
Triodos Bank N.V.
Unipol Assicurazioni

United Church Funds

Companies:

Aboca S.p.A.

Artipack srl SB

Autonom Services

BIOITALIA

BizAway

CG Jensen A/S

CGS SB SRL Tealogy Skincare

Comisión de control plan de empleo Atlas Copco sae.

EDF (Electricité de France)

Enetec SpA SB

Eprcomunicazione Spa società benefit

Eurotherm SpA SB

Farmacia degli Arsenalali Srl - S.B.

GARC Ambiente S.p.A. SB

GARC Costruzioni S.p.A. SB

GRAFICONSUL SRL SOCIETA' BENEFIT

Ingka Group | IKEA

Kerakoll

Korff Srl

La luce di joy harvey e marco rossi snc sb

Little genius international srl sb

MAGANETTI SPEDIZIONI SPA

Nokia

Oatly

Signify

Søren Jensen

TDC NET

Up2You srl sb

Vattenfall

Service providers:

ADVANT Nctm

Agence LUCIE

ALTIS Advisory Srl SB

Amapola Società Benefit

Avanzi Spa SB

Bottega Filosofica srl Società Benefit

Clarity AI

CORPORANCE Asesores de Voto

Crmpartners Srl

ECUBE SOLUTION

Elemental

ESG Finance and Investment Services

ESG Portfolio Management GmbH

Ferrario Provenzali Nicodemi & Partners

Finance for Good

Focus Lab srl Benefit

Foxwin srl società benefit

Framyx

Frontis Governance Srl

GOLDMANN & PARTNERS SRL SB

Governart

Green Growth Futura GmbH

ICEBERG DATA LAB

IMPACT INSTITUTE

Join Group società benefit di business advisory

Klondike

LEAN LAWYERS

OPERARI S.R.L. S.B.

PEAK COMPLIANCE srl

Prime Advocates

Regulatory Communication bv

Respethica

ROUTE220 SB Srl

Samovar Mice Srl - Società Benefit

SCS Azioninnova S.p.A. - SCS Consulting

Stone Soup Consulting

TAUW Group

TRUE PRICE

tetratteam - Nachhaltige Konzepte OHG

UOMO & AMBIENTE S.r.l. Società Benefit

ValueCo

Ympact

Other supporting organisations:

#WeAreEurope

Acteurs de la finance responsable

amfori

B Lab

Business In The Community Ireland (BITCI)

CCOO Deutsche Bank

CCOO FP

CCOO Industria

CER Sustainable Business Network

Dutch Federation of Pension Funds
ECCO Think Tank
Environmental Defense Fund
ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION AGENCY
Ethique & Investissement
Fairtrade Italia
Federación Enseñanza CCOO
First Social Life
Frank Bold
Fondazione Sodalitas
Fundación ONCE
Heartland Initiative
Impact Economy Foundation
Impact Europe
Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility
Investor Alliance for Human Rights
Lady Lawyer Foundation
ökofinanz 21 e.V.
Responsible Sourcing Network
ShareAction
Spanish Green Growth Group
Sustainable Banking Coalition
The B Team
Transparency International France
Transport & Environment (T&E)
UN Global Compact
VBDO (Dutch Association of Investors for Sustainable Development)
WBCSD

Annex: Sustainability rules set the foundation for Europe's long-term competitiveness

Sustainability reporting rules foster trust between financial institutions and companies

The CSRD was introduced to address key shortcomings of the NFRD, including unclear reporting expectations, low compliance with voluntary reporting frameworks, and poor comparability of disclosures. It expands the scope of companies subject to mandatory reporting and sets clear requirements for disclosing both sustainability risks and impacts. Going back on these developments would mean a regression prior to NFRD and disregarding the lesson learned from its implementation. Reducing the scope of the CSRD would also put first movers at a disadvantage, as many companies listed on public markets and reporting under NFRD would no longer face mandatory reporting requirements.

Maintaining the CSRD's double materiality approach is needed to meet the breadth of investor data needs and to ensure comparable reporting across portfolio companies. Risks relating to environmental, social, or governance factors can directly affect the financial performance of companies. In turn, the negative impacts of economic activities on the environment or society are likely to materialise into financial risks both in the short and long term. The CSRD standardises how businesses should assess and disclose these sustainability risks and impacts, thereby driving better processes and resilience of business models. Clearer communication would increase attractiveness for financial market participants focusing on sustainable investment opportunities, such as productive finance to boost cleantech growth, or long-term pension returns.

Responsible investors and businesses support simplification of the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS). However, it is essential that the ESRS remain based on a double materiality principle, and that they continue to encompass environmental, social and governance topics that provide reliable and decision-useful information for investors and other stakeholders.

While the EU must maintain control over its own standards, the ESRS should continue to be interoperable with globally accepted international standards to facilitate access for EU businesses to international capital markets. This is important to meet the breadth of investors' data requirements and to ensure comparable disclosure across companies and portfolios. A good level of alignment between ESRS and ISSB/GRI standards has already been achieved, as evidenced by [ESRS-ISSB interoperability guidance](#) and the [ESRS-GRI Interoperability Index](#), and can continue to be improved.

Market feedback has shown that it is necessary to go beyond [voluntary reporting](#) to improve the ability of regulators to raise the quality of reported information and to ensure consistency, standardisation and predictability. The impact of a reduction in the scope of CSRD may reflect on companies' ability to access sustainable finance. For this reason, the scope of the CSRD should cover companies over 500 employees, in line with the previous NFRD. [Recent surveys](#) have shown that a majority (56%) of companies between 500 and 1000 employees have begun preparing for CSRD and would prefer to stay inside the scope. A phase-in period could be considered, beginning with companies over the 1000 employee threshold and expanding in the next 2-4 years to a threshold of 500 employees. This would provide a proportionate solution for these companies while gradually reducing potential data gaps for investors who had anticipated access to a wider scope of company data.

Additionally, the proposed “value chain cap” is likely to prevent investors from requesting data from out-of-scope companies, beyond the very limited information included in the VSME standard, which was designed for micro-companies and SMEs. If investors need to make additional efforts to gather relevant information, this could deter investment in small to midsize companies and risks concentrating sustainable investment benefits to the largest EU companies.

Risk-based due diligence and climate transition plans support responsible and resilient business conduct

The CSDDD complements the CSRD's transparency requirements by requiring large companies to conduct environmental and human rights due diligence and to adopt and implement climate transition plans. By adopting sustainable business practices, companies can better identify and manage the risk of human rights breaches and significant environmental harm in their own operations or value chain, reducing exposure to operational, reputational, legal and financial harm. For investors, these due diligence processes are important for effective risk management and engagement with investee companies.

The CSDDD provides a minimum level of harmonisation of due diligence across EU member states and aligns with widely adopted international standards such as the OECD guidelines for Multinational Companies and the UN Guiding Principles. This creates a level playing field and enhances legal certainty, supporting a stable investment environment.

While many EU laws protect against harm at the direct supplier level, the most salient environmental and human rights risks and impacts often lie deeper in supply chains. **A risk-based approach to due diligence is helpful to companies as this allows them to focus on where the real risks are,** building on their knowledge of their own supply chains. By limiting due diligence to tier 1 suppliers, the Omnibus

proposal may unintentionally promote the kind of “box ticking” compliance exercises that it intends to reduce.

Finally, corporate transition plans, including science-based climate targets, are an essential tool in driving the transition to a sustainable and competitive economy, in line with the EU’s Clean Industrial Deal. For companies, they are useful for mitigating their exposures to climate-related risks and can be a source of competitive advantage to develop future-proof and climate-resilient business models. For investors, the CSDDD requirements on transition plans increase the availability of corporate disclosures that are essential for assessing the sustainability risks and impacts of their investments. It also supports their ability to hold investee companies accountable for the implementation of their transition plans. The obligation of means entails that the plans do not become a liability to the company, provided they are allowed to explain when implementing actions are not financially viable or outside their control.

The behavioural obligations under CSDDD [complement disclosure requirements under the CSRD](#) by ensuring the assessed and reported information, e.g. on transition plans, feeds into company strategies and long-term thinking. Combined they provide a coherent and credible framework for transition planning in the EU, which helps investors manage and account for climate related risks, such as mitigating the potential economic consequences of stranded assets.

DISCLAIMER:

This statement was developed in collaboration between the coordinating organisations and their members, but does not necessarily represent the views of their entire memberships, either individually or collectively.