

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: Ofi Invest ESG Euro Investment Grade Climate Change

Legal entity identifier: 9695002MDEAJKQULXD55

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Sustainable	Did this financial product have	e a sustainable investment objective?
investment means an investment in an economic activity that	•• □ Yes	● ○ ⊠ No
contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the	☐ It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective:%	□ It promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of% of sustainable investments
investee companies follow good governance practices.	in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
	 in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy 	with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy
		\Box with a social objective
The EU Taxonomy is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.	☐ It made sustainable investments with a social objective:%	⊠ It promoted E/S characteristics, but did not make any sustainable investments



Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

Ofi Invest ESG Euro Investment Grade Climate Change (hereinafter the "Sub-Fund") promoted environmental and social characteristics through the implementation of two systematic approaches:

- Regulatory and sector-based exclusions; 1.
- ESG integration through different requirements. 2.

In fact, this SRI-labelled Sub-Fund adopted a best-in-class approach, making it possible to exclude, in each sector of the investment universe, 20% of the least virtuous issuers in terms of ESG practice, and to keep in the portfolio, only companies incorporating ESG practices. It also complied with the SRI label requirements for monitoring performance indicators.





• How did the sustainability indicators perform?

As at 29 December 2023, the performance of the sustainability indicators used to measure attainment of the Sub-Fund's environmental and social characteristics was as follows:

- SRI score: the SRI score for the portfolio reached 3.51 out of 5 and the SRI score for its universe is 2.95;
- The percentage of excluded companies belonging to the "Under Supervision" category: 20%;
- The percentage of excluded companies belonging to the "high risk" or "risky" category for sectors with high greenhouse gas emissions (as defined by the Energy and Environmental Transition matrix); 0%.

In addition, in the context of the SRI Label awarded to the Sub-Fund, the following two ESG indicators promoting social and environmental characteristics were piloted in connection with the Sub-Fund and its SRI universe. Their respective performances as at 29 December 2023 are as follows:

- Financed emissions on Scopes 1 and 2: emissions financed emissions on Scopes 1 and 2 represent 38.93 tonnes of CO2 equivalent per million euros in turnover compared to its SRI universe, of which financed emissions represent 56.86 tonnes of CO2 equivalent per million euros in turnover;
- 2. The proportion of female members on the governance bodies of investee companies: the proportion of female members on governance bodies is **0.71** compared to its universe, of which the proportion is **0.64%**.

Monitoring of the indicators, mentioned previously, in management tools allows confirmation that there were no significant variations in the performance of the indicators throughout the reporting period in question, between 1st January 2023 and 29 December 2023.

For more information on these sustainability indicators and their calculation method, please refer to the Sub-Fund's prospectus and pre-contractual appendix.

• ... and compared to previous periods?

As at 30 December 2022, the performance of the sustainability indicators used to measure attainment of the Sub-Fund's environmental and social characteristics was as follows:

- SRI score: the SRI score for the portfolio reached 3.60 out of 5 and the SRI score for its universe is 2.95;
- The percentage of excluded companies belonging to the "Under Supervision" category: 0%;
- The percentage of excluded companies belonging to the "high risk" or "risky" category for sectors with high greenhouse gas emissions (as defined by the Energy and Environmental Transition matrix); 0%.

In addition, in the context of the SRI Label awarded to the Sub-Fund, the following two ESG indicators promoting social and environmental characteristics were piloted in connection with the Sub-Fund and its SRI universe. Their respective performance as at 30 December 2022 is as follows:

- 3. Financed emissions over Scopes 1 and 2: the financed emissions over Scopes 1 and 2 represent 45.8 tonnes of CO2 equivalent per million euros in turnover compared to its SRI universe, of which financed emissions represent 102.4 tonnes of CO2 equivalent per million euros in turnover;
- 4. The proportion of female members on the governance bodies of investee companies: the proportion of female members on governance bodies is **0.64%** compared to its universe, of which the proportion is **0.54%**.

Monitoring of the indicators, mentioned previously, in management tools allows confirmation that there were no significant variations in the performance of the indicators throughout the reporting period in question, between 1st January 2022 and 30 December 2022.



• What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

Not applicable.

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

Not applicable.

- How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?
- Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The methods of assessment by the Management Company of investee companies, for each of the principal adverse impacts linked to sustainability factors, are as follows:

Adverse impact indicator		Metric	Impact [year n]	Impact [year n-1]	Explanation	Actions taken, and actions planned and targets set for the next reference period
		Climate and other envi	ronment-related in	dicators		
Greenhouse gas emissions	1. GHG emissions	Scope 1 GHG emissions	2,701.72 Teq C02	N/A		
			Coverage rate = 82.93%	N/A		
		Scope 2 GHG emissions	564.01 Teq C02	N/A		
			Coverage rate = 82.93%	N/A		
		Scope 3 GHG emissions	16,079.66 Teq C02	N/A		
			Coverage rate = 82.93%	N/A		



	-	•			
		Total GHG emissions	19,345.39 Teq CO2	N/A	
			Coverage rate = 82.93%	N/A	
	2. Carbon footprint	Carbon footprint (Scope 1, 2 and 3 GHG / EVIC emissions)	245.31 Teq CO2/million euros)	N/A	
			Coverage rate = 82.93%	N/A	
	3. GHG intensity of investee companies	GHG intensity of investee companies (Scope 1, 2 and 3	551.02 Teq CO2/million euros)	N/A	
			Coverage rate = 83.47%	N/A	
	4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	Share of investments in companies active	0.14%	N/A	
			Coverage rate = 98.24%	N/A	
	5. Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and non-renewable energy production of investee	Share of non-renewable energy consumed = 59.23%	N/A	
			Coverage rate = 79.07%	N/A	
			Share of non-renewable energy produced = 72.37%	N/A	
			Coverage rate = 12.81%	N/A	
	6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	Energy consumption in GWh per million EUR of revenue of investee companies, per	0.64 (GWh/million euros)	N/A	
			Coverage rate = 93.49%	N/A	
Biodiversity	7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to	1.26%	N/A	
			Coverage rate = 95.06%	N/A	
Water	8. Emissions to water	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee	1,811.74 (Tonnes)	N/A	
			Coverage rate = 2.56%	N/A	
Waste	9. Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste produced by the investee	23,150.79 (Tonnes)	N/A	



		companies, per million euros invested, as a weighted average	Coverage rate = 38.88%	N/A		
	Indicators for social and e	employee, respect for h	uman rights, anti-o	corruption and an	ti-bribery matters	
Social and employee matters	10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or	0%	N/A		
			Coverage rate = 97.39%	N/A		
	11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational	0.39%	N/A		
			Coverage rate = 98,24%	N/A		
	12. Unadjusted gender pay gap	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	0.21	N/A		
			Coverage rate = 48.45%	N/A		
	13. Board gender diversity	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a	Gender diversity = 40.18%	N/A		
			Coverage rate = 97.32%	N/A		
	14. Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel	Share of investments in investee companies	0%	N/A		
			Coverage rate = 100%	N/A		
	Additic	onal indicators related to	o social and enviro	onmental issues		
Water, waste and material emissions	Investments in companies producing chemicals	Share of investments in companies	0%	N/A		
			Coverage rate = 98.24%	N/A		
Anti-bribery and anti-corruption	Cases of insufficient action taken to address breaches of standards of anti-corruption and	Share of investment in entities with identified insufficiencies in	13.73%	N/A		





	anti-bribery	actions taken to address breaches of standards of anti-corruption and anti-bribery	Coverage rate = 98.24%	N/A		
	Indicators	applicable to investme	nts in sovereigns	and supranationa	Is	
Environment	15. GHG intensity	GHG intensity of investee countries	N/A	N/A		
				N/A		
Social	16. Investee countries subject to social violations	Number of investee countries subject to social violations (absolute number and relative number divided by all investee countries) as	N/A	N/A		
				N/A		

For more information, please refer to the "Statement on Principal Adverse Impacts of Investment Decisions on Sustainability Factors", which can be found on the Management Company's website [in French]: https://www.ofi-invest-am.com/finance-durable.

What were the top investments of this financial product?

As at 29 December 2023, the Sub-Fund's top investments are as follows:

Largest investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
BNP 4.750 2032_11	Banks	1.51%	France
DEUTSCHE BOERSE 3.875 2033 09	Financial Services	1.37%	Germany
RTE 3.750 2035 07	Utilities	1.35%	France
BANK OF IRELAND GROUP 1.875 2026 06	Banks	1.18%	Ireland
ALD 1.250 2026 03	Consumer products and services	1.16%	France
BFCM 4.750 2031 11	Banks	1.13%	France
ABN AMRO BANK 4.000 2028 01	Banks	1.13%	Netherlands
BELFIUS BANK 3.875 2028 06	Banks	1.11%	Belgium
AIB GROUP 2.250 2028 04	Banks	1.10%	Ireland
MORGAN STANLEY 2.950 2032_05	Financial Services	1.09%	USA
IBM 1.500 2029_05	Technology	1.06%	USA
PROCTER AND GAMBLE 3.250 2031_08	Personal care, pharmacies and grocery stores	1.05%	USA
ESB FINANCE 1.875 2031_06	Financial Services	1.05%	Ireland
ING GROUP 4.500 2029_05	Banks	1.05%	Netherlands
BOOKING HOLDINGS 4.250 2029_05	Travel and Leisure	1.04%	USA



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?



The list includes the investments constituting the greatest proportion of investments of the financial product during the reference period, which is:



	 97.07% #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics	
Investments		
	2.93% #2 Other	
with E/S characteristics includes the al or social characteristics promoted by	tments of the financial product used to attain nancial product.	the

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

As at 29 December 2023, **97.07%** of the net assets of the Sub-Fund are made up of investments contributing to the promotion of environmental and social characteristics (#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics).

2.93% of the net assets of the Sub-Fund are in the #2 Other category. This category is made up of:

- 0.46% in cash;
- -0.01% in derivatives;
- 2.48% in securities or portfolio securities without an ESG score;

The Sub-Fund therefore complied with the expected asset allocation:

- A minimum of 80% of the Sub-Fund's net assets belonging to the category #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics;
- A maximum of 20% of the investments belonging to component #2 Other, including a maximum of 10% in securities or stocks that do not have an ESG score and a maximum of 10% in liquid assets and derivatives.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

As at 29 December 2023, the sector-based breakdown of assets invested is as follows:

Sector	% Assets
Invested cash/cash equivalents	4.93%
Banks	32.60%
Utilities	16.12%
Financial Services	8.08%
Industrial goods and services	6.26%
Real Estate	5.08%
Telecommunications	4.12%
Bonds	3.50%
Insurance	3.45%
Construction and materials	2.16%
Consumer products and services	1.99%
Technology	1.80%
Energy	1.72%
Health Care	1.64%
Personal care, pharmacies and grocery stores	1.59%
Media	1.58%
Food, beverages and tobacco	1.50%
Travel and Leisure	1.24%
Core resources	0.63%
CREDIT SWAPS	0.04%
Options/Futures	-0.05%



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

As at 29 December 2023, the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy in the portfolio is zero.

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria for **fossil gas** include limitations on emissions and switching to fully renewable power or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. For **nuclear energy**, the criteria include comprehensive safety and waste management rules.

Enabling activities

directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which low-carbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission levels corresponding to the best performance.





• Did the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities complying with the EU Taxonomy¹?

🗆 Yes

- 🗆 In fossil gas
- □ In nuclear energy

🛛 No

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of: - turnover reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies. capital expenditure (CapEx) showing the green investments made by investee companies, e.g. for a transition to a green economy. operational expenditure (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds^{*}, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.

 Taxonomy-alignment of investments including sovereign bonds* 	2. Taxonomy-alignment of investments excluding sovereign bonds*
Turnover x% x% x%	x% Turnover x% x% x%
СарЕх	CapEx
OpEx	OpEx
 Taxonomy-aligned: Fossil gas Taxonomy-aligned: Nuclear Taxonomy-aligned (no fossil gas and nuclear) 	Taxonomv-alianed: Fossil aas
Non Taxonomy-aligned	This graph represents x% of the total investments

* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

As at 29 December 2023, the share of investments in transitional and enabling activities in the portfolio is nil.

• How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

As at 29 December 2023, the share of the Sub-Fund's investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy remains zero.

C1 - Public

¹ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective – see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.



are sustainable investments with an environmental objective that **do not take into account the criteria** for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852.



What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Not applicable.



What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

Not applicable.



What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

These investments, which were only made in specific situations, consisted of:

- cash;
- derivatives;
- securities or portfolio securities that do not have an ESG score;

Although this category does not have an ESG score and no minimum environmental and social guarantees were implemented, its use did not have the effect of significantly or permanently distorting the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by the Sub-Fund.

What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

In order to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period, all ESG data were made available to managers in the management tools, and the various ESG requirements were configured and tracked in these same tools.

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable.

• How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable.

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable.

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable.





Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

C1 - Public