

Annex of pre-contractual disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: Ofi Invest ESG Euro Investment Grade Climate Focus

LEI: 9695002MDEAJKQULXD55

Environmental and/or social characteristics

Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.

The **EU Taxonomy** is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. That Regulation does not include a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

Does this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?			
● ■ Yes	● ○ 図 No		
☐ It will make a minimum of sustainable investments with an environmental objective: %	☑ It promotes Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it does not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it will have a minimum proportion of 25% of sustainable investments		
☐ in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	☐ with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy		
☐ in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	⋈ with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy		
	⊠ with a social objective		
☐ It will make a minimum of sustainable investments with a social objective: %	☐ It promotes E/S characteristics, but will not make any sustainable investments		



What environmental and/or social characteristics are promoted by this financial product?

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

The Sub-Fund promotes environmental and social characteristics. In order to assess issuers' environmental, social and governance practices, the Management Company relies on the internal ESG rating methodology.

- Environmental: Climate change Natural resources Project financing Toxic waste Green products.
- Social: Human capital Societal Products and services Communities and human rights
- Governance: Governance structure Market behaviour

The SRI comparison universe is the same as the Fund's benchmark.





- What sustainability indicators are used to measure the attainment of each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?
- The Sub-Fund's average ESG score: for the method used to calculate this score, please refer to the section "What are the binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?".
- The average ESG score of the SRI universe, to verify that the average ESG score of the Sub-Fund outperforms the average ESG score of the SRI universe.
- The Sub-Fund's share of sustainable investments.

In addition, under the French SRI Label awarded to the Fund, the following two ESG indicators were also selected:

- Environmental indicator (PAI indicator 2): Tonnes of CO₂ per million euros invested (Scopes 1, 2 and 3 divided by EVIC).
- Social indicator (optional PAI indicator 17): Amount of fines imposed for infringements of anticorruption legislation and acts of corruption committed (in euros).
- What are the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially intends to make and how does the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The Sub-Fund invests at least 25% of its net assets in securities that meet Ofi Invest AM's definition of a sustainable investment.

To qualify as a sustainable investment, it must meet the following criteria:

- Make a positive contribution to or benefit the environment and/or society;
- Does not cause significant harm;
- Possesses good governance.

Our definition of sustainable investment is set out in detail in our Responsible Investment Policy, available on our website at the following address: https://www.ofi-invest-am.com/pdf/principes-et-politiques/politique-investissement-responsable.pdf.

How do the sustainable investments that the financial product partially intends to make, not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

In order to ensure that the issuers being reviewed do no significant harm (DNSH) with regard to sustainability, Ofi Invest AM analyses the issuers in terms of:

- indicators of adverse sustainability impacts within the meaning of the SFDR (Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation) known as "Principal Adverse Impacts" or PAIs;
- activities that are controversial or deemed sensitive in terms of sustainability;
- the presence of controversies deemed to be of very great severity.
- How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

Issuers exposed to the following adverse impact indicators are considered to be non-sustainable investments:

- Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector (PAI indicator 4);
- Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) (PAI indicator 14);
- Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (PAI indicator 10)





Moreover, controversial activities or activities deemed to be sensitive in terms of sustainability are considered as unsustainable. Adverse impacts are analysed via Ofi Invest AM's sector-based (tobacco, oil and gas, coal, palm oil, biocides and hazardous chemicals) and norms-based policies (Global Compact and ILO fundamental conventions, controversial weapons), published on our website. Companies that do not pass these exclusion filters are therefore not investable.

Very severe controversies ("level 4" environmental and societal controversies, as well as "level 3" social and governance controversies) do not qualify as sustainable investments under our definition.

How are the sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights?

The exposure of issuers to controversies related to violations of fundamental human rights as described in the UN Global Compact and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (PAI indicator 10) is a reason for exclusion (see above).

Issuers exposed to such controversies, the severity level of which is deemed to be great or very great, on any social, societal and environmental issues cannot be considered sustainable, or eligible as part of a fund under Article 9, according to our definition.

More specifically, investments cannot be made in issuers exposed to "level 4" (very great) environmental and societal controversies, as well as "level 3" (great) social and governance controversies, (i.e. the highest on our proprietary rating scale).

These ESG issues tally with all the themes covered by the OECD guidelines and the Global Compact.

These exclusions apply to issuers considered as "sustainable" according to our definition, in addition to the norms-based exclusion policy on Non-Compliance with the Global Compact Principles and ILO fundamental conventions.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do no significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific EU criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the EU criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.







Does this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for human rights, anticorruption and antibribery matters.

⊠ Yes ⊠ No

The methods of assessment by the Management Company of investee companies, for each of the principal adverse impacts linked to sustainability factors, are as follows:

	Adverse impact indicator	Metric
	Climate and other environment-related	l indicators
Greenhouse gas emissions	1. GHG emissions	Scope 1 GHG emissions
		Scope 2 GHG emissions
		Scope 3 GHG emissions
		Total GHG emissions
	2 Carbon footprint	Carbon footprint (Scope 1, 2 and 3 GHG / EVIC emissions)
	3. GHG intensity of investee companies	GHG intensity of investee companies (Scope 1, 2 and 3 GHG / CA emissions)
	Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector
	5. Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy sources
	6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	Energy consumption in GWh per million EUR of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector
Biodiversity	7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas
Water	8. Emissions to water	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average
Waste	9. Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio	Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EUR invested, expressed as a weighted average





Indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters				
Social and employee matters	10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises		
	11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance/complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises		
	12. Unadjusted gender pay gap	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies		
	13. Board gender diversity	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members		
	14. Exposure to controversial weapons	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons		
Additional indicators for social and employee, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-bribery matters				
Anti-corruption and anti-bribery	16. Cases of insufficient action taken to address breaches of standards of anticorruption and anti-bribery	Share of investments in investee companies with identified insufficiencies in actions taken to address breaches in procedures and standards of anti-corruption and anti-bribery		

For more information, please refer to the "Statement on the Principal Adverse Impacts of Investment Decisions on Sustainability Factors", which can be found on the Management Company's website [in French]: https://www.ofi-invest-am.com/finance-durable



What investment strategy does this financial product follow?

The investment strategy of this Sub-Fund aims to put together a portfolio of bonds issued by the most active private issuers in terms of the Energy Transition and which display industry-specific ESG best practices, consistent with the ESG rating methodology and the "Energy and Environmental Transition" matrix compiled and applied by the Management Company.

For more information on the investment strategy, please refer to the section on investment strategy in the prospectus.

The investment strategy guides investment decisions based on factors such as investment objectives and risk tolerance.

What are the binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to attain each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by this financial product?

The binding elements of the investment strategy used to select the investments to attain each of the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Sub-Fund are as follows:





Management adopts an ESG "rating improvement" approach, which consists of obtaining an average ESG rating for the portfolio, which is higher than the average ESG rating for the comparison SRI universe, including those securities comprising the Bank of America Merrill Lynch Euro Corporate Index, after eliminating 30% of the index weighting. These eliminated securities correspond to the exclusion of private issuers featuring on the management company's sector-based and norm-based exclusion lists for the purposes of the SRI Label, as well as securities with the lowest ESG scores.

The proportion of stocks undergoing an ESG analysis in the portfolio must be higher than 90% of the Sub-Fund's net assets (excluding cash, UCIs and derivatives).

In assessing issuers' ESG practices, the Sub-Fund considers the following pillars and themes:

- Environmental: climate change, natural resources, project financing, toxic waste, green products;
- Social: employees, customers, suppliers and civil society, with reference to universal values (in particular: human rights, international labour standards, environmental impact, prevention of corruption, etc.), human capital, supply chain, products and services;
- Governance: governance structure, market behaviour.

The ESG analysis team defines a sector-based reference for key issues (ESG issues listed above), by selecting the most important issues for each sector of activity. Based on this benchmark, an ESG score is calculated out of 10 for each issuer, which includes, first, the E and S key issue scores and, second, scores for G key issues, as well as potential bonuses/penalties.

Indicators used to establish this ESG score include, for example:

- Scope 1 carbon emissions in tonnes of CO₂, water consumption in cubic metres, and nitrogen oxide emissions in tonnes for the environmental pillar;
- the information security policies in place and the frequency of system audits, the number of fatal accidents, the percentage of the total workforce represented by collective labour agreements for the social pillar;
- the total number of directors, the percentage of independent members on the board of directors, and the total remuneration in % of the fixed salary for the governance pillar.

Issuers' ESG ratings are calculated quarterly, while underlying data are updated at least every 18 months. Ratings can also be adjusted by analysis of controversies or as a result of engagement initiatives. This analysis is carried out using a dedicated proprietary tool for automating the quantitative processing of ESG data (mainly provided by ESG rating agencies, but also by specialised agencies), combined with an analysis by the ESG analysis team.

The weighting of ESG pillars for each sector, as well as the justification in case of weighting below 20%, are detailed in the document available at the following address: https://www.ofi-invest-am.com/en/policies-and-documents

However, we could face certain methodological limitations such as:

- a problem associated with non-disclosure or incomplete disclosure by certain companies of information that is used as input for the rating model;
- a problem associated with the quantity and quality of ESG data to be processed.

The ESG rating methodology for issuers is detailed in the document titled Responsible Investment Policy. This document is available online at https://www.ofi-invest-am.com/pdf/principes-et-politiques/responsible-investment-policy.pdf

Moreover, Ofi Invest Asset Management has identified risk areas for its investments in relation to certain business sectors and international benchmarks. Therefore, the Management Company has introduced exclusionary policies to minimise these risks and manage its reputational risk.

The Sub-Fund implements the exclusion policies summarised in the "Investment Policy - Sectorial and Norms-Based Exclusions" document. In line with and as a part of the implementation of the ESMA Guidelines, the Fund applies the PAB exclusions summarised in our "Investment Policy - Sectorial and Norms-Based"





Exclusions" document. This document is available online at https://www.ofi-invest-am.com/pdf/principes-et-politiques/investment-policy sectorial-and-norms-based-exclusions.pdf.

All the exclusion policies are available at: https://www.ofi-invest-am.com

Carbon analysis:

The Fund pursues a strategy to promote those private issuers that are the most active in terms of the Energy Transition.

The universe of sectors with carbon-intensive activity will be analysed based on two main criteria: the Carbon Intensity of the company's activities and how great a role the company is playing in the Energy Transition.

The carbon intensity of the company's activities:

The scope of the companies studied in the Energy and Environmental Transition analysis will cover sectors with the most intensive greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions activity which are most likely to act to significantly reduce them. The analysis will focus on the following sectors:

- Automobile
- Chemicals
- "Intense" industrial activities (ICB 3 sectors: Aerospace and Defence, General Industrials, Industrial Engineering and Industrial Transportation)
- Base materials
- Building materials
- Oil and gas
- Utilities
- "Intense" travel and leisure activities (ICB 3 sectors: Airlines, Hotels and Travel and Tourism)

How great a role the company is playing in the energy transition:

For each intensive sector, a matrix is implemented that places the carbon footprint measurement on one axis and the Energy and Environmental Transition analysis on the other axis.

Issuers are then classified into terciles based on their rating on each axis.

Using a scale from 1 to 3, the issuers' ratings on the carbon footprint measurement axis are obtained through:

- A "Financed emissions" score, which is higher for less intensive issuers
- A penalty based on Urgewald's Global Coal Exit List (GCEL)
- The rating may be capped based on a qualitative analysis of scope 3

Using a scale from 1 to 3, the issuers' ratings on the Energy and Environmental Transition Analysis axis are obtained through:

- An "Energy Transition" score, measuring how well the environmental theme is managed
- A bonus based on the percentage of turnover generated from "green" products
- The rating may be adjusted for specific operators of public transport (such as railways and buses), port infrastructure and electric transport networks that play a key role in the transition, but have been otherwise incorrectly identified

A selection matrix is obtained for each carbon-intensive sector, by placing the level of carbon intensity of the business activities on the vertical axis and the progress in the energy transition on the horizontal axis. Based on these two criteria, issuers in carbon-intensive sectors are classified in the following categories:

- "high risk"
- "risky"
- "neutral"
- "opportunities"
- "significant opportunities"

Companies in these carbon-intensive sectors that are either "high risk" or "risky" are excluded from the investment universe of the Sub-Fund.

Good governance practices include sound management structures, employee relations, remuneration of staff and tax compliance.



• What is the committed minimum rate to reduce the scope of the investments considered prior to the application of that investment strategy?

The Sub-Fund does not commit to reducing the investment universe prior to the application of the investment strategy

What is the policy to assess good governance practices of the investee companies?

Several methods are implemented to assess good governance practices of the investee companies:

- 1. Analysis of governance practices within the ESG analysis (pillar G). For each Issuer, the ESG analysis incorporates an analysis of corporate governance, with the following themes and issues:
 - Its governance structure: Respect for minority shareholder rights The composition and operation of boards or committees, Remuneration of executives, Accounts, audits and taxation;
 - And its market behaviour: Business practices.
- 2. Weekly monitoring of ESG controversies: the ESG analysis also takes into account the presence of controversies on the above-mentioned themes and their management by issuers.
- 3. The Management Company's exclusion policy related to the UN Global Compact, including its Principle 10: "Businesses should work against corruption in all its forms, including extortion and bribery".1 Companies which are dealing with serious and/or systemic controversies on this principle on a recurrent or frequent basis, and which have not implemented appropriate remedial measures, are excluded from the investment universe.
- 4. The voting and shareholder engagement policy 2: The policy describes the objectives and framework for implementation of ESG commitments, led by the Management Company's ESG analysis team. Through these individual or collaborative engagement actions, the Management Company aims to raise awareness among issuers on improving their sustainability, social responsibility and governance practices, to encourage them to be more transparent on these matters, and to reduce the risk of any adverse impact. Climate change mitigation, biodiversity and the social component (Global Compact) are the three main areas of our engagement strategy.



What is the asset allocation planned for this financial product?

At least 80% of the net assets of the Fund are made up of investments contributing to the promotion of environmental and social characteristics (#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics).

Within the #2 Other component:

- The proportion of all portfolio securities that do not have an ESG score may not exceed 10% of the Fund's assets.
- A maximum of 10% of the Fund's investments will consist of cash and derivatives.

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- turnover reflecting
the share of revenue
from green activities
of investee
companies
- capital
expenditure
(CapEx) showing
the green
investments made
by investee
companies, e.g. for

a transition to a green economy.


² This policy applies according to the asset class of the UCIs and therefore, primarily to UCIs exposed to equities.



¹ https://pactemondial.org/decouvrir/dix-principes-pacte-mondial-nations-unies/#lutte-contre-la-corruption



- operational expenditure (OpEx) reflecting green operational activities of investee companies.



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

The category #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics covers:

- The sub-category #1A Sustainable covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

How does the use of derivatives attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product?

The use of derivatives will not aim to attain E/S characteristics. However, their use will not have the effect of significantly or permanently distorting the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by the Sub-Fund.

To comply with the EU Taxonomy, the criteria applicable to fossil gas include emission limitations and switching to renewable electricity or low-carbon fuels by the end of 2035. As far as nuclear energy is concerned, the criteria include comprehensive rules on nuclear safety and waste management.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.



To what minimum extent are sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The Sub-Fund does not currently make any minimum commitments to align its activities with the Taxonomy Regulation. Accordingly, the minimum investment percentage aligned with the EU Taxonomy to which the Fund commits is 0%.

• Does the financial product invest in fossil gas and/or nuclear energy related activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy³?

☐ Yes

☐ In fossil gas

☐ In nuclear energy

⊠ No


³ Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objective - see explanatory note in the left hand margin.
The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.





Transitional
activities are
activities for which
low-carbon
alternatives are not
yet available and
among others have
greenhouse gas
emission levels
corresponding to the
best performance.

The two graphs below show in green the minimum percentage of investments that are aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.



* For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures.

What is the minimum share of investments in transitional and enabling activities?

Not applicable.



What is the minimum share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

As stated in the "Does this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?" section, this product aims to place at least 25% of its net assets in sustainable investments. However, the product makes no commitment on the weighting of sustainable investments with an environmental objective that are not aligned with the EU Taxonomy.



What is the minimum share of socially sustainable investments?

As stated in the "Does this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?" section, this product aims to place at least 25% of its net assets in sustainable investments. However, the product makes no commitment on the weighting of social sustainable investments.







What investments are included under "#2 Other", what is their purpose and are there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

These investments, which represent a maximum of 20% of the Sub-Fund's investments, will consist of:

- Cash and derivatives in order to allow occasional hedging against or exposure to market risks within a total limit of 10%;
- All securities that do not have an ESG score within a 10% limit.

Although this category does not have an ESG rating and no environmental and social guarantees have been implemented, its use will not have the effect of significantly or permanently distorting the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by the Sub-Fund.



Is a specific index designated as a reference benchmark to determine whether this financial product is aligned with the environmental and/or social characteristics that it promotes?

Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure whether the financial product attains the environmental or social characteristics that they promote.

The SRI comparison universe is the same as the Fund's reference benchmark (Bank of America Merrill Lynch Euro Corporate).

- How is the reference benchmark continuously aligned with each of the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product?
 Not applicable.
- How is the alignment of the investment strategy with the methodology of the index ensured on a continuous basis?
 Not applicable.
- <u>How does the designated index differ from a relevant broad market index?</u> Not applicable.
- Where can the methodology used for the calculation of the designated index be found?

 Not applicable.



Where can I find more product specific information online?

More product-specific information can be found on the website:

More Sub-Fund-specific information can be found on the website:

https://www.ofi-am.fr/en/

